

From: Don Chambers

To: [ohashid@region.halton.on.ca](mailto:ohashid@region.halton.on.ca)

Cc: [kfranklin@town.oakville.on.ca](mailto:kfranklin@town.oakville.on.ca) ; [amulvale@town.oakville.on.ca](mailto:amulvale@town.oakville.on.ca) ;  
[foliver@town.oakville.on.ca](mailto:foliver@town.oakville.on.ca) ; [dennisonj@burlington.on.ca](mailto:dennisonj@burlington.on.ca) ;  
[malboeuf@look.ca](mailto:malboeuf@look.ca)  
; [johnday@stn.net](mailto:johnday@stn.net) ; [gord.krantz@town.milton.on.ca](mailto:gord.krantz@town.milton.on.ca) ; [bunny@aztec-net.com](mailto:bunny@aztec-net.com) ;  
[wallacem@burlington.on.ca](mailto:wallacem@burlington.on.ca) ; [mayor@town.halton-hills.on.ca](mailto:mayor@town.halton-hills.on.ca) ;  
[mayor@burlington.on.ca](mailto:mayor@burlington.on.ca) ; [damelioc@burlington.on.ca](mailto:damelioc@burlington.on.ca) ; [janelee@netcom.ca](mailto:janelee@netcom.ca)  
;  
[lougheedj@burlington.on.ca](mailto:lougheedj@burlington.on.ca) ; [savoline@region.halton.on.ca](mailto:savoline@region.halton.on.ca) ;  
[kbird@town.oakville.on.ca](mailto:kbird@town.oakville.on.ca) ; [jknoll@town.oakville.on.ca](mailto:jknoll@town.oakville.on.ca) ;  
[kflynn@town.oakville.on.ca](mailto:kflynn@town.oakville.on.ca)

Sent: Sunday, February 09, 2003 3:43 PM

Subject: Comments/queries on waste treatment plant expansion proposal

1. How much of the planned Mid-Halton plant expansion is to be funded from development levies? If not 100%, why not?
2. How much will the proposed expansion increase the total phosphorous loading going to the lake in the Bronte area (expressed as a % increase)?  
Algae is already a problem and phosphorous is one of the suspected culprits.
3. Odour control should be part of the plan and budget. I didn't see any scenario discussed considering worst case plant loading coinciding with most adverse wind direction and high ambient temperature. The charts seemed to consider only averages.
4. Disposal of the bio-solids appears to be an increasing problem. Are there better technologies out there for the long haul? It's not good enough to say 'we'll address the technology problem at the next phase'.  
We're talking planned capital expenses now, the numbers at this stage should reflect a variety of approaches, in my opinion.

I would appreciate a written response to my input.

Thank you.  
Don Chambers